

Hepatitis C in Transplant, Donor and Recipient Issues

B. Einollahi

Professor of Internal Medicine/Nephrology Division Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences 2019

Viral hepatitis is the 7th leading cause of mortality worldwide with HCV accounting for about half of this mortality.

Global anti-hepatitis C virus prevalence and number of infected individuals (all ages)

Continent	Anti- HCV prevalence (%)	Viraemic rate (%)	2013 population (millions)	Anti- HCV infected (millions)	Viraemic HCV infected (millions)
Africa	2.9	70.5	927.0	26.9	19.0
North Africa/Middle East	2.7	68.8	469.0	12.7	8.7
America	1.3	74.0	953.7	12.4	9.2
Asia	2.8	64.4	3985.0	111.6	71.9
Australasia	1.8	74.8	28.0	0.5	0.4
Europe	1.8	72.4	742.5	13.4	9.7
Total	2.5	67.0	7105.2	177.5	118.9

World J Gastroenterol. 2016; 22(34): 7824–7840.



The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2017, 161-176

Epidemiology of Hepatitis C in Iran

Hepatitis C infection prevalence has decreased dramatically in Iranian HD population during the last decade.

• 14.4% in 1999

• 4.5% in 2006

Alavian 2008

The latest incidence of HD pts with HCVAb positive: 2018

- Overall incidence: 542 pts (1.7%)
- Lowest incidence: 0%
- Highest incidence: 5.4%

Unpublished data

HCV Infection in Organ Transplant Recipients

	Prevalence		
Organ	(%)		
Liver	21		
Kidney	6.3		
Heart	2.1		
Lung	1.7		

SRTR 2015 Annual Report, Am J Transplant 2017, Kumar et al, Am J Cardiol 2016, Englum et al, J Heart Lung Transplant 2016

HCV – RENAL TRANSPLANTATION

RELEVANCE

High prevalence in renal population (Dialysis/Tx)

Liver diseases are the 4° cause of death for RT patients

Renal Transplant 1978-1997 (n =1390) Cumulative Risk of Mortality



Most renal transplant recipients (RTRs) have acquired HCV infection prior to transplantation.

Romero E, et al. Transplant Proc. 2008;40(9):2933-5.

Comparison of pretransplant factors between HCV-positive and HCV-negative pts

	Anti-HCV Ab Positive (<i>n</i> =41)	Anti-HCV-Ab negative (<i>n</i> =868)	<i>P</i> -value
Duration on HD (months)	39.6±6.0	18.4±1.9	0.001
Retransplantation (yes/no)	9/32 (21.9%)	61/807 (7.0%)	0.001

Einollahi B, et al. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2003;18:836–840.

There is a wide range of HCV infection prevalence (2.6-66%) among RTRs living in different countries.

Moghaddam SM, Alavian SM, Kermani NA. Rev Med Virol. 2008;18(6):375-86. Einollahi B, et al. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2003;18:836–840. Fehr T, et al. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;42:193-201. Mitwalli AH, et al. Nephron Clin Pract. 2006;102:72-82. **Prevalence of HCV infection among Renal Transplant Recipients:** <u>**1.8 to 8 percent**</u>

Baid-Agrawal et al. Am J Transplant 2014

HCV prevalence was <u>3.45 percent</u> among normal-risk potential donors and <u>18.2</u> percent among high-risk potential donors.

Ellingson K, et al Am J Transplant 2011

Natural history of HCV infection in RTRs

RT improves overall survival in HCV pts on HD



the relative risk of mortality was 0.36 for RTRs, a 64% lower risk of death than wait-listed dialysis individuals



Kokado Transplant Proc. 2000;32:1940-3

Natural history of HCV infection in RTRs

RT improves overall survival in HCV pts on HD

pre-transplantation HCV infection does not adversely affect mediumterm patient and graft survivals in RTRs.

No significant difference in 5-yr survival for HCV +ve RT recipients

Einollahi B. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2003;18:836-40

Natural history of HCV infection in RTRs

RT improves overall survival in HCV pts on HD

the survival rate of HCV-positive recipients appeared to decrease gradually over the long term, especially in the second decade following transplantation.

Significantly lower survival at 10 years, Patient survival (P=0.001) 65%±5% versus 85%±3% Graft survival (P=0.01) 49%±5% versus 69%±4%

> Einollahi Transplant Proc. 2007;39:907–10 Kokado Transplant Proc. 2000;32:1940–3

Inferior Outcomes in HCV+ Kidney Recipients



Allograft survival

Adapted from meta-analyses of observational studies, comparing to HCV- recipients From Baid-Agarwal et al, Am J Transplant 2014

HCV infection is associated with lower graft and recipient survival



Gentil MA et al. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1999;14:2455-2460.

The detrimental role of HCV upon patient and graft survival was confirmed by multivariate analysis in order to exclude the role of confounding factors (i.e., age, diabetes, time on dialysis, time after RT, etc)

Mathurin P, et al. Impact of Hepatitis B and C Virus on Kidney Transplantation Outcome. Hepatology 1999



Systematic review and metaanalysis of observational studies (*n*=18; 133,530 unique RT recipients)

Fabrizi F, Messa P. Meta-analysis of observational studies: Hepatitis C and survival after renal transplant J Viral Hepat 2014

Summary estimate for adjusted Relative Risk of all-cause mortality after RT:

1.85 (95% CI, 1.49; 2.31)

Fabrizi F, Messa P. Meta-analysis of observational studies: Hepatitis C and survival after renal transplant. J Viral Hepat 2014

Summary estimate for adjusted Relative Risk of all-cause graft loss after RT: 1.76 (95% CI, 1.46; 2.11)

Fabrizi F, Messa P. Meta-analysis of observational studies: Hepatitis C and survival after renal transplant. J Viral Hepat 2014 NDT Plus (2010) 3 [Suppl 2]: ii41-ii46 doi: 10.1093/ndtplus/sfq070



Renal transplantation in patients with hepatitis C virus antibody. A long national experience

Jose María Morales¹, Roberto Marcén², Amado Andres¹, Beatriz Domínguez-Gil³, Josep María Campistol⁴, Roberto Gallego⁵, Alex Gutierrez⁶, Miguel Angel Gentil⁷, Federico Oppenheimer⁴, María Luz Samaniego⁸, Jorge Muñoz-Robles⁹ and Daniel Serón¹⁰

Results. Among recipients alive with graft function 1 year post-transplant, the 4-year graft survival was 92.8% in the whole group; this was significantly better in HCV-negative vs HCV-positive patients (94.4% vs 89.5%, P < 0.005). Notably, HCV patients showed more acute rejection, a higher degree of proteinuria accompanied by a diminution of renal function, more graft biopsies and lesions of de novo glomerulonephritis and transplant glomerulopathy. Serum creatinine and proteinuria at 1 year, acute rejection, HCV positivity and systolic blood pressure were independent risk factors for graft loss. Patient survival was 96.3% in the whole group, showing a significant difference between HCV-negative vs HCV-positive patients (96.6% vs 94.5%, P < 0.05). Serum creatinine and diastolic blood pressure at 1 year, HCV positivity and recipient age were independent risk factors for patient death.

Serum creatinine showed higher mean values in HCV+ vs HCV- patients from the second year



Mean values of eGFR were lower in HCV+ vs HCV- patients from the second year post-transplant



Mean values of proteinuria were higher from the first year in HCV+ vs HCV- patients



Survival is better compared to dialysis

Renal Transplant in HCV cases

 Renal transplantation is associated with a <u>68%</u> reduction in long-term mortality compared to remaining on the waiting list

J Am Soc Nephrol 22: 1152-1160, 2011

Effect on the liver

Hepatoma and cirrhosis in HBV, HCV infection or co-infection among renal transplantation patients

477 cases were followed-up from 1984 to 1999

	Prevalence(N)	Hepatoma	Cirrhosis	
HBV-/HCV-	58.5%(279)	1.4%	3.2%	
HBV-/HCV+	9.9%(47)	4.4%	6.6%	
HBV+/HCV-	28.5%(136)	6.4%	21.3%	
HBV+/HCV+	3.1%(15)	6.7%	20%	

Lee WC, et al. Am J Nephrol. 2001,21:300-6

Should we accept HCV positive donor ?



HCV-infected donors to HCV negative Recipients



KDIGO guidelines 2008

- kidneys from anti-HCV-positive deceased donors should be given to only HCV RNA-positive recipients. (Lead to shorter waiting list)
- In cases of HCV-positive living donors for HCVpositive recipients, the risks of delaying transplantation for the antiviral treatment should be weighed against the benefits.
Use of Increased Risk Donors

What is the Risk of HCV Transmission to the Transplant Recipient?

• Very small chance for transmission (<0.5%) of HCV to an HCV-negative recipient with transplantation of a kidney from a treated or cleared HCV-positive donor

Worse Outcomes in HCV-Infected Recipients of HCV+ Kidneys



USRDS, 1996-2001, n=36,956

- Recipient level data limited
 - Liver disease severity
 - NAT vs serological testing
 - Reasons for use of HCV+ donor
 - Donor level data limited
 - No NAT
 - Clinical information

Worse Outcomes in HCV-Infected Recipients of HCV+ Kidneys



Morales et al, Am J. Transplantation 2010

Shorter Wait Times for Organs From HCV-Infected Donors





Bowring et al, Am J Transplant 2017

Opportunity for Increased Organ Utilization with Procured HCV+ Donors

Disposition of 6546 Kidneys fr		Acceptance of	y between 2005 and 2014.*		
Disposition of Kidney Pairs	No Dono	HCV+ kidneys	mated Additional Graft-Years Obtainable by Transplanting Both Kidneys		
Disposition of Runey Funs	20110	decreases wait	Survival	3-Yr Survival	5-Yr Survival
Both kidneys discarded	1718	time by 205 days	000	7637	10,301
1 kidney transplanted, 1 discarded	708	time by 555 days	636	1675	2,361
Both kidneys transplanted	847		—	_	_
		Kucirka et al, Am J Transplant, 2010			



HCV-associated renal disease post-transplantation

- Posttransplant proteinuria
- Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis
- Membranous nephropathy
- Renal thrombotic microangiopathy
- Acute transplant glomerulopathy
- Chronic transplant glomerulopathy
- Mixed cryoglobulinemia (rare)
- PTDM or worsening of diabetic nephropathy
- Increased incidence of PTLD



 Greater all-cause patient/graft loss in RT-recipients with HCV related to (in addition to chronic liver disease):

Higher rate of post-transplant diabetes (PTDM)
-de novo or recurrent HCV-GN
-chronic allograft nephropathy
-exposure to calcineurin inhibitors

Fabrizi F, Messa P. Meta-analysis of observational studies: Hepatitis C and survival after renal transplant. J Viral Hepat 2014 American Journal of Transplantation 2005; 5: 2433–2440 Blackwell Munksgaard Copyright © Blackwell Munksgaard 2005

doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2005.01040.x

Post-Transplant Diabetes Mellitus and HCV Seropositive Status After Renal Transplantation: Meta-Analysis of Clinical Studies

Authors	aORs	95% CI	P
Yildiz A et al.	17.44	2.48-122.6	0.004
Bloom RD et al.	6.76	2.36-19.38	0.0001
Gentil MA et al.	1.778	0.768-4.125	NS
Gentil MA et al. (1)	5.65	2.6-12.0	0.0001
Gentil MA et al. (2)	1.232	0.255-5.964	NS
Gourishankar S et al.	3.4	1.02-11.2	0.047
Overall random effect model estimate	3.97	1.83-8.61	

Kidney diseases associated with HCV infection

- Membranoproliferative GN (Cryo+ve /-ve)
- Membranous GN
- Mesangioproliferative GN



Thrombotic Microangiopathy



HCV infection may be associated with any kidney disease

в

- Glomerulus :
 - Type II Cryoglobulinemia (MPGN)
 - GN with mesangial IgA deposits
 - Membranous GN
 - Hyalinosis
 - Fibrillar GN
 - Immunotactoïd GN
- Interstitium
 - Sjogren Syndrom
 - B Lymphoproliferation
- Vascular : thrombotic microangiopathy
- Rejection nephropathy



Extrahepatic Manifestations of HCV Hematological and Lymphoid Disorders* Mixed cryoglobulinemia

Non-Hodgkin B-cell lymphoma (NHL)

Aplastic anemia (association ????)

Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura

*Linked to lymphotropism of HCV to PBLs, dendritic cells, BM,megacariocyte,vascular endothelium and/or chronic antigenic stimulation

Treatment options for hepatitis C in kidney transplant candidates

Should we treat HCV (+) kidney transplant candidates?

• <u>Yes</u>,

►very HCV (+)/RNA (+) patient

Liver biopsy is not mandatory (we can rely on liver elastometry)

Genotyping, viral load at D0

During therapy, the patient is not a transplant candidate

Candidate for living donor transplant



 Treat hepatitis C in patients with living donors prior to kidney transplantation

Pre vs. post transplant treatment



Pre-transplant treatment

- HCV-associated liver damage may be accelerated by immunosuppression.
- For this reason, antiviral therapy should be considered for all haemodialysis patients who will be candidates for renal transplantation

- Mostly curable
- CKD Stage 4 and 5d Full analysis set Modified full analysis set 94.3% 99.1% 98.0% 95.1% 100% 75% atients 50% 25% 0% Immediate treatment Deferred treatment





Decompensated cirrhosis



Roth et al, Lancet 2015

- Mostly curable
- Slow liver disease progression





- Sufficiently sick to benefit
- Low MELD regions
- Low HCV prevalence

Belli et al, J. Hepatol 2016

- Mostly curable
- Slow liver disease progression
- Reduce transplant complications: NODAT

Author	Organ	<u>NOD</u> HCV-	<u>M (%)</u> HCV+
Baid, 2001	L	28	64
Khalil, 2004	L	7	15
Bloom, 2002	К	10	39
Fabrizi, 2005	K	OR 3.97	



- Mostly curable
- Slow liver disease progression
- Reduce transplant complications: Recurrent disease



- Mostly curable
- Slow liver disease progression
- Reduce transplant complications: Recurrent disease
- Avoid post-transplant drug-drug interactions
- Public health concerns e.g. HD unit transmission

Immunosuppressive treatment with concurrent hep C treatment

- Beware of cyclosporine and hepatitis medication interactions
- Some regimens have worse drug interactions than others

Why Treat Post-transplant with DAAs

- Highly efficacious
- Not contraindicated (unlike IFN)





Treatment after transplant

- All patients with HCV infection should receive antiviral therapy after kidney transplant ideally while the eGFR is greater than 30
 - Should wait until immunosuppressive regimen is stable
- No dose adjustment for renal function is required in patients with eGFR greater than 30 for the direct-acting antiviral agents

Why Treat Post-transplant with DAAs

- Highly efficacious
- Not contraindicated (unlike IFN)
- Can use kidney from HCV-infected donor
 - Increase organ utilization
 - Shorter waiting time
 - Longer life
 - Lower cost

Recipient HCV: Treat Early vs Late

Pros

- Prevent complications of HCV?
 - New DM
 - AMR
 - Recurrent disease (GN or liver)

Cons

Early drug-drug interactions



Time to new DM by HCV D/R serostatus

Recipient HCV: Treat Early vs Late Early Pros

- Prevent complications of HCV?
 - New DM
 - AMR
 - Recurrent disease (GN or liver)

Cons

Early drug-drug interactions



Drug Interaction Charts

Do Not Coadminister 🔲 Potentia	I Interaction 🔷 No I	nteraction Expected	No Clear Data		
	Daclatasvir	Elbasvir/Grazoprevir	Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir	OBV/PTV/r + DSV	Velpatasvir/Sofosbuvi
Azathioprine	•	•	•	٠	•
Ciclosporin	•	•	•		•
Daclatasvir		•	•	•	•
Eculizumab	•	•	•	٠	•
Elbasvir/Grazoprevir	•		•	•	•
Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir	٠	•		٠	•
Mycophenolate	•	•	•		٠
OBV/PTV/r + DSV	•	•	•		•
Sirolimus	•		•		•
Tacrolimus	•		•		•
Velpatasvir/Sofosbuvir	•	•	•	•	

Recipient HCV: Treat Early vs Late Early

Pros

- Prevent complications of HCV?
 - New DM
 - AMR
 - Recurrent disease (GN or liver)

Cons

- Early drug-drug interactions
- Effect on drug levels
- Impaired kidney function



Recipient HCV: Treat Early vs Late

Pros

- Prevent complications of HCV?
 - New DM
 - AMR
 - Recurrent disease (GN or liver)

Cons

- Early drug-drug interactions
- Effect on drug levels
- Impaired kidney function
- Genotype superinfection

Drug	Metabolized	Renal dosing
Sofosbuvir	Renal	CrCl > 30 ml/min
Ledipasvir	Hepatic	Unknown
Grazoprevir	Hepatic	No restrictions
Elbasvir	Hepatic	No restrictions
Daclatasvir	Hepatic	No restrictions
Simeprevir	Hepatic	CrCl > 30 ml/min
Paritaprevir	Hepatic	
Ombitasvir	Hepatic	No dose adjustment
Dasabuvir	Hepatic	adjustment

Recipient HCV: Treat Early vs Late Early Later Pros

- Prevent complications of HCV?
 - New DM
 - AMR
 - Recurrent disease (GN or liver)

Cons

- Early drug-drug interactions
- Effect on drug levels
- Impaired kidney function
- Genotype superinfection

Pros

- Wait until stable
 - Superinfection detected by 3-4 most
 - Improved kidney function
 - Ventilator issues resolved
- Drug levels may be less critical

Cons

Too late to prevent complications

Recipient HCV: Treat Early vs Late



- Who will treat
 - Hepatologists
 - Nephrologists
 - Transplant IDs
- Emergence of pan-genotypic agents
- Re-treatment?
 - Pre/Post-transplant
 - Post/Post-transplant
- Insurance barriers

Direct acting antiviral(DAAs)



SOFOSBUVIR

• 80% of sofosbuvir is renally excreted

Can not be used in GFR < 30 ml/min
ledipasvir

 Biliary excretion is the major route of excretion

Simeprevir



- Eliminated by biliary excretion
- No dose reduction in kidney disease



Daclatasvir

- Eliminated in faeces (90%)
- No dose reduction in kidney disease

Treatment of Patients with Post organ Transplantation

SOF/DCV ± RBV for 24 weeks

Successful treatment of Hepatitis C in renal transplant recipients with direct-acting antiviral agents

- 20 HCV (+) / RNA (+) KT patients treated with interferon-α- free therapy
 - >88% were infected with genotype 1
 - > 50% had biospsy-proven advanced hepatic fibrosis (Metavir F3 or F4)
 - DAA treatment was initiatied 888 days post-KTx; the most commonly used regimen was sofosbuvir 400 mg/d in combination with simeprevir150 mg/d
 - All patients cleared the virus while on therapy
 - 100% achieved a sustained virological response at 12 weeks after completion of DAA therapy





Sawinski D et al, AJT nov 2015

Efficacy and Safety of Sofosbuvir-Based Antiviral Therapy to Treat Hepatitis C Virus Infection AfterKidney Transplantation

- 29 HCV (+) / RNA (+) KTx patients were given for 12 (n=19) or 24 weeks (n=6) sofosbuvir + another antivral drug.
 - 88% of patients had no detectable HCV/RNA 4 weeks after starting DAA therapy
 - > 100% of viral clearance at end of viral therapy
 - > 100% sustained virological response 12 weeks after completing DAA therapy.

Efficacy and Safety of Sofosbuvir-Based Antiviral Therapy to Treat Hepatitis C Virus Infection AfterKidney Transplantation

Combinations of DAAs and treatments

HCV genotype	Ν	DAA combination	Duration (wk)
1a	2	Sofosbuvir + ledipasvir	12
	1	Pegylated interferon + ribavirin + sofosbuvir	24
	1	Sofosbuvir + simeprevir	12
1b	5	Sofosbuvir + simeprevir	12
	5	Sofosbuvir + ledipasvir	12
	З	Sofosbuvir + daclatasvir	24
	1	Sofosbuvir + ledipasvir + ribavirin	24
	1	Sofosbuvir + simeprevir + ribavirin	12
2	2	Sofosbuvir + ribavirin	12
3	1	Sofosbuvir + ribavirin	24
4	2	Sofosbuvir + ledipasvir	12
	1	Sofosbuvir + daclatasvir	12

Hepatitis C virus life cycle and mechanisms of action of direct-acting antivirals



Thank you all for your attention